

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Environment and Housing	Service area: Environmental Action Service – Parking Services			
Lead person: Steve Milligan	Contact number: 52201			
1. Title: Award of contracts for the Debt recovery of unpaid Penalty Charge Notices				
Is this a:				
Strategy / Policy Service / Function Other				
If other, please specify To award the contract to enable the recovery of unpaid Penalty Charge Notices that are outstanding to the Council.				
2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening				
The Director of Environment and Housing collection of unpaid penalty charge	agreed the award of contracts for the notices to Equita and Marston Group Ltd.			

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration
All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or

the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?		✓
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		✓
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		✓
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		✓
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity Fostering good relations 		✓

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

A) How have you considered constituteding	weith, ashesian and intervetion?
A) How have you considered equality, diventified about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to the proposal.	
gaps in information and plans to address, consultation	
planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affecte	d)
Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point?	? Yes □
n) is the consultation rengagement listed on raining rount:	No 🗆
B) Key findings	
(Think about: any potential positive and negative impa	
potential to promote strong and positive relationships	
groups/communities into increased contact with each	other, perception that the proposal could
benefit one group at the expense of another)	
C) Actions	
(Think about: how you will promote positive impact an	d remove/ reduce negative impact)
5. If you are not already considering the impact of	on equality diversity cohesion and
ntegration you will need to carry out an impact	
,	
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	
Date to complete your impact assessment	
·	
·	
·	
·	
·	
·	
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title) 6. Governance, ownership and approval	

Type of Decision bei Please tick as approp	<u> </u>			
• • • •		ongh year		
Key (Incurring expenditure or making savings over £250,000 each year and or outcome will have significant effect on communities ling in an area comprising two or more wards)				
Major (incurring expenditure Significant Other (as	re or making savings over £100,000 Delegated Decision Making definitio	per year) on set out in Pt 3 of Constitution)		
Administrative (not in	conflict with approved policies and o	lo not raise new issues of policy		
Name	Job title	Date		
Steve Milligan		30 th June 2016		
9	ı are not carrying out an inc	at due regard to equality and diversity dependent impact assessment the		
co.coming accamont v	viii rieed to be published.			
	,	20th June 2016		
Date screening com	pleted	30 th June 2016		
	pleted	30 th June 2016		
Date screening com	pleted	30 th June 2016		